Publicaciones

1. Escriche y col. 2001. Effect of Ozone Treatment and Storage Temperature on Physicochemical Properties of Mushrooms (*Agaris bisporus*). https://doi.org/10.1106/6A9R-DKEV-ADV7-Y30X
2. Retamales y col. 2002. Development of the sexual reproductive cycle of *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012(01)00349-9
3. Muñoz y col. 2002. Vegetables Collected in the Cultivated Andean Area of Northern Chile: Total and Inorganic Arsenic Contents in Raw Vegetables. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf011027k
4. Ganga y Martínez. 2004. Effect of wine yeast monoculture practice on the biodiversity of non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2003.02080.x
5. Martínez y col. 2004. Genomic characterization of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains isolated from wine-producing areas in South America. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2004.02255.x
6. Combina y col. 2005. Yeasts associated to Malbec grape berries from Mendoza, Argentina. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2005.02540.x
7. Combina y col. 2005. Dynamics of indigenous yeast populations during spontaneous fermentation of wines from Mendoza, Argentina. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2004.08.017
8. Muñoa y col. 2005. Estimation of the dietary intake of cadmium, lead, mercury, and arsenic by the population of Santiago (Chile) using a Total Diet Study. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2005.05.006
9. Martínez y col. 2006. Production of *Rhodotorula glutinis*: A yeast that secretes α-L-arabinofuranosidase. https://doi.org/10.2225/vol9-issue4-fulltext-8
10. Martínez y col. 2007. High degree of correlation between molecular polymorphism and geographic origin of wine yeast strains. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03493.x

11. Combina y col. 2008. Yeast identification in grape juice concentrates from Argentina. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2007.02291.x

12. Godoy y col. 2008. Purification and characterization of a p-coumarate decarboxylase and a vinylphenol reductase from *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.05.011

13. Contreras y col. 2008. Polymerase chain reaction confirmatory method for microbiological detection of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* in wines. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4581.2008.00137.x

14. Niklitschek y col. 2008. Genomic organization of the structural genes controlling the astaxanthin biosynthesis pathway of *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0716-97602008000010001

15. Salinas y col. 2009. Taqman real-time PCR for the detection and enumeration of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in wine. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2008.12.001

16. Godoy y col. 2009. Study of the coumarate decarboxylase and vinylphenol reductase activities of *Dekkera bruxellensis* (anamorph *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*) isolates. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2009.02556.x

17. Cubillos y col. 2009. Self-fertilization is the main sexual reproduction mechanism in native wine yeast populations. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00600.x

18. Medina y col. 2010. Study on image analysis application for identification Quinoa seeds (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd) geographical provenance. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2009.07.010

19. Salinas y col. 2010. Genomic and phenotypic comparison between similar wine yeast strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* from different geographic origins. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2010.04689.x

20. García y col. 2010. Effects of using mixed wine yeast cultures in the production of Chardonnay wines. https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=213014867015
21. Saavedra y col. 2011. Chemometric approaches for the zoning of Pinot Noir wines from the Casablanca valley, Chile. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.01.132
22. Ganga y col. 2011. Cinnamic acid, ethanol and temperature interaction on coumarate decarboxylase activity and the relative expression of the putative cd gene in *D. bruxellensis*. https://doi.org/10.2225/vol14-issue5-fulltext-2
23. Díaz y col. 2011. Low occurrence of patulin-producing strains of penicillium in grapes and patulin degradation during winemaking in Chile. https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.2011.11034
24. Mercado y col. 2011. Biodiversity of Saccharomyces cerevisiae populations in Malbec vineyards from the "Zona Alta del Río Mendoza" region in Argentina. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.09.026
25. García y col. 2012. Development and characterization of hybrids from native wine yeasts. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822012000200008
26. Salinas y col. 2012. The genetic basis of natural variation in oenological traits in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049640
27. Contreras y col. 2012. Identification of genes related to nitrogen uptake in wine strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0911-3
28. Yáñez y col. 2012. Chemometric Analysis for the Detection of Biogenic Amines in Chilean Cabernet Sauvignon Wines: A Comparative Study between Organic and Nonorganic Production. 10.1111/j.1750- https://doi.org/3841.2012.02796.x
29. Sangorrín y col. 2013. Molecular and physiological comparison of spoilage wine yeasts. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12134

30. Martínez y col. 2013. Gene expression of specific enological traits in wine fermentation. https://doi.org/10.2225/vol16-issue4-fulltext-8

31. Cubillos y col. 2013. High-Resolution Mapping of Complex Traits with a Four-Parent Advanced Intercross Yeast Population. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.155515

32. Vaca y col. 2013. Cultivable psychrotolerant yeasts associated with Antarctic marine sponges. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-012-1159-2

33. Martínez y col. 2014. The *ICY1* gene from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* affects nitrogen consumption during alcoholic fermentation. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2014.04.006

34. Jara y col. 2014. Mapping genetic variants underlying differences in the central nitrogen metabolism in fermenter yeasts. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086533

35. Godoy y col. 2014. Identification of the *Dekkera bruxellensis* phenolic acid decarboxylase (PAD) gene responsible for wine spoilage. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.03.041

36. Valdés y col. 2014. Draft genome sequence and transcriptome analysis of the wine spoilage yeast *Dekkera bruxellensis* LAMAP2480 provides insights into genetic diversity, metabolism and survival. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6968.12630

37. Sturm et al. 2015. Relation between coumarate decarboxylase and vinylphenol reductase activity with regard to the production of volatile phenols by native *Dekkera bruxellensis* strains under 'wine-like' conditions. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.04.023

38. Coronado y col. 2015. Comparison of the behaviour of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* strain LAMAP L2480 growing in authentic and synthetic wines. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-015-0413-7

39. Junqueira-Gonçalves y col. 2015. Isolation and characterization of phenolic compounds and anthocyanins from Murta (*Ugni molinae*

Turcz.) fruits. Assessment of antioxidant and antibacterial activity. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules20045698

40. Salinas y col. 2016. Natural variation in non-coding regions underlying phenotypic diversity in budding yeast. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21849

41. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2016. *RIM15* antagonistic pleiotropy is responsible for differences in fermentation and stress response kinetics in budding yeast. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/fow021

42. Cubillos. 2016. Exploiting budding yeast natural variation for industrial processes. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-016-0602-6

43. Palacios y col. 2016. β-Galactosidase activity in microorganisms isolated from Antarctic environments. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2016.06.1100

44. Godoy y col. 2016. Comparative transcriptome assembly and genome-guided profiling for *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* LAMAP2480 during p-coumaric acid stress. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep34304

45. González y col. 2017. Survival and Growth Improvement of Palm Ruff, *Seriolella violacea*, Larvae Fed *Artemia* Nauplii Enriched with an Experimental Emulsion. https://doi.org/10.1111/jwas.12375

46. Rojo y col. 2017. Incidence of osmophilic yeasts and *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* during the production of concentrate grape juices. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2016.11.017

47. Cubillos y col. 2017. Identification of Nitrogen Consumption Genetic Variants in Yeast Through QTL Mapping and Bulk Segregant RNA-Seq Analyses. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.117.042127

48. Godoy y col. 2017. Metabolism, Survival Strategies, and Biotechnological Applications of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* LAMAP2480. https://doi.org/10.1159/000471924

49. Quispe y col. 2017. Genetic basis of mycotoxin susceptibility differences between budding yeast isolates. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09471-z

50. Brice y col. 2018. Adaptability of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeasts to wine fermentation conditions relies on their strong ability to consume nitrogen. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192383

51. Lorca y col. 2018. Screening of native *S. cerevisiae* strains in the production of Pajarete wine: a tradition of Atacama Region, Chile. https://doi.org/10.1080/09571264.2018.1465900

52. Tapia y col. 2018. *GPD1* and *ADH3* Natural Variants Underlie Glycerol Yield Differences in Wine Fermentation. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01460

53. Candia-Onfray y col. 2018. Treatment of winery wastewater by anodic oxidation using BDD electrode. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.04.175

54. Salinas y col. 2018. Fungal Light-Oxygen-Voltage Domains for Optogenetic Control of Gene Expression and Flocculation in Yeast. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00626-18

55. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2019. Indirect monitoring of TORC1 signalling pathway reveals molecular diversity among different yeast strains. https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.3351

56. Oporto y col. 2019. Distinct Transcriptional Changes in Response to Patulin Underlie Toxin Biosorption Differences in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11070400

57. Molinet y col. 2019. Genetic variants of TORC1 signaling pathway affect nitrogen consumption in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* during alcoholic fermentation. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220515

58. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2019. *KAE1* Allelic Variants Affect TORC1 Activation and Fermentation Kinetics in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01686

59. Villalobos-Cid y col. 2019. Comparison of Phylogenetic Tree Topologies for Nitrogen Associated Genes Partially Reconstruct the Evolutionary History of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8010032

60. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2020. Disentangling the genetic bases of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* nitrogen consumption and adaptation to low nitrogen environments in wine fermentation. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-019-0270-3

61. Devia y col. 2020. Transcriptional Activity and Protein Levels of Horizontally Acquired Genes in Yeast Reveal Hallmarks of Adaptation to Fermentative Environments. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00293

62. Molinet y col. 2020. *GTR1* Affects Nitrogen Consumption and TORC1 Activity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Under Fermentation Conditions. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00519

63. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2020. Differential Gene Expression and Allele Frequency Changes Favour Adaptation of a Heterogeneous Yeast Population to Nitrogen-Limited Fermentations. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01204

64. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2020. Generation of a Non-Transgenic Genetically Improved Yeast Strain for Wine Production from Nitrogen-Deficient Musts. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8081194

65. Burgos-Díaz y col. 2021. Synthesis of New Chitosan from an Endemic Chilean Crayfish Exoskeleton (*Parastacus pugnax*): Physicochemical and Biological Properties. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13142304

66. Villalobos-Cid y col. 2022. A multi-modal algorithm based on an NSGA-II scheme for phylogenetic tree inference. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2022.104606

67. Aguilera y col. 2022. Use of Cocktail of Bacteriophage for *Salmonella* Typhimurium Control in Chicken Meat. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11081164

68. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2022. Yeast as a biological platform for vitamin D production: A promising alternative to help reduce vitamin D deficiency in humans. https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.3708

69. Rozas y col. 2022. Genetically modified organisms: adapting regulatory frameworks for evolving genome editing technologies. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-022-00399-x

70. Pinheiro y col. 2022. Food Availability in Different Food Environments Surrounding Schools in a Vulnerable Urban Area of Santiago, Chile: Exploring Socioeconomic Determinants. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11070901

71. Burgos-Díaz y col. 2022. Food-grade bioactive ingredient obtained from the *Durvillaea incurvata* brown seaweed: Antibacterial activity and antioxidant activity. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2022.102880

72. Pizarro y col. 2023. Dietary and physical activity practices and preferences in children: An approach from school parliaments in educational public establishments. https://doi.org/10.32641/andespediatr.v94i2.4164

73. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2023. Single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with wine fermentation and adaptation to nitrogen limitation in wild and domesticated yeast strains. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-023-00453-2

74. Gil-Durán y col. 2023. CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Disruption of the pcz1 Gene and Its Impact on Growth, Development, and Penicillin Production in *Penicillium rubens*. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof9101010

75. Sandoval y col. 2024. Enhancing industrial swine slaughterhouse wastewater treatment: Optimization of electrocoagulation technique and operating mode. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.119556

76. Herrera-Muñoz y col. 2024. Assessment of contaminants of emerging concern and antibiotic resistance genes in the Mapocho River (Chile): A comprehensive study on water quality and

municipal wastewater impact. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.176198

77. Rocha y col. 2024. Phenotyping of a new yeast mapping population reveals differences in the activation of the TORC1 signalling pathway between wild and domesticated yeast strains. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-024-00563-5

78. Figueroa y col. 2025. Optogenetic control of horizontally acquired genes prevent stuck fermentations in yeast. https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.01794-24

79. Bastías y col. 2025. Specific Point Mutations in the *RRT5* Gene Modulate Fermentative Phenotypes of an Industrial Wine Yeast. https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation11020088

80. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2025. Genetically Improved Yeast Strains with Lower Ethanol Yield for the Wine Industry Generated Through a Two-Round Breeding Program. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof11020137

81. Gómez y col. 2025. High-Throughput Indirect Monitoring of TORC1 Activation Using the pTOMAN-G Plasmid in Yeast. https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.5356

82. Riquelme-Zamora y col. 2026. Identification of SNPs and Genes Associated with Quantitative Oenological Traits in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Using Regression and Machine Learning Models. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610470.2025.2595368

83. Guerrero y col. 2026. A new set of optogenetic switches in yeast based on the BcWCL1 photoreceptor. https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.70021