

Productividad

Publicaciones

1. Ganga y Martínez. 2004. Effect of wine yeast monoculture practice on the biodiversity of non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2003.02080.x>
2. Martínez y col. 2004. Genomic characterization of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains isolated from wine-producing areas in South America. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2004.02255.x>
3. Combina y col. 2005. Yeasts associated to Malbec grape berries from Mendoza, Argentina. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2005.02540.x>
4. Combina y col. 2005. Dynamics of indigenous yeast populations during spontaneous fermentation of wines from Mendoza, Argentina. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2004.08.017>
5. Martínez y col. 2006. Production of *Rhodotorula glutinis*: A yeast that secretes α -L-arabinofuranosidase. <https://doi.org/10.2225/vol9-issue4-fulltext-8>
6. Martínez y col. 2007. High degree of correlation between molecular polymorphism and geographic origin of wine yeast strains. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03493.x>
7. Combina y col. 2008. Yeast identification in grape juice concentrates from Argentina. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2007.02291.x>
8. Godoy y col. 2008. Purification and characterization of a p-coumarate decarboxylase and a vinylphenol reductase from *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.05.011>
9. Contreras y col. 2008. Polymerase chain reaction confirmatory method for microbiological detection of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* in wines. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4581.2008.00137.x>
10. Niklitschek y col. 2008. Genomic organization of the structural genes controlling the astaxanthin biosynthesis pathway of *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0716-9760200800010001>
11. Salinas y col. 2009. Taqman real-time PCR for the detection and enumeration of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in wine. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2008.12.001>

12. Godoy y col. 2009. Study of the coumarate decarboxylase and vinylphenol reductase activities of *Dekkera bruxellensis* (anamorph *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*) isolates. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2009.02556.x>
13. Cubillos y col. 2009. Self-fertilization is the main sexual reproduction mechanism in native wine yeast populations. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00600.x>
14. Salinas y col. 2010. Genomic and phenotypic comparison between similar wine yeast strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* from different geographic origins. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2010.04689.x>
15. García y col. 2010. Effects of using mixed wine yeast cultures in the production of Chardonnay wines. <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=213014867015>
16. Ganga y col. 2011. Cinnamic acid, ethanol and temperature interaction on coumarate decarboxylase activity and the relative expression of the putative *cd* gene in *D. bruxellensis*. <https://doi.org/10.2225/vol14-issue5-fulltext-2>
17. Mercado y col. 2011. Biodiversity of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* populations in Malbec vineyards from the "Zona Alta del Río Mendoza" region in Argentina. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.09.026>
18. García y col. 2012. Development and characterization of hybrids from native wine yeasts. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822012000200008>
19. Salinas y col. 2012. The genetic basis of natural variation in oenological traits in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049640>
20. Contreras y col. 2012. Identification of genes related to nitrogen uptake in wine strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0911-3>
21. Yáñez y col. 2012. Chemometric Analysis for the Detection of Biogenic Amines in Chilean Cabernet Sauvignon Wines: A Comparative Study between Organic and Nonorganic Production. 10.1111/j.1750- <https://doi.org/3841.2012.02796.x>
22. Sangorrín y col. 2013. Molecular and physiological comparison of spoilage wine yeasts. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12134>
23. Martínez y col. 2013. Gene expression of specific enological traits in wine fermentation. <https://doi.org/10.2225/vol16-issue4-fulltext-8>

24. Vaca y col. 2013. Cultivable psychrotolerant yeasts associated with Antarctic marine sponges. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-012-1159-2>
25. Martínez y col. 2014. The *ICY1* gene from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* affects nitrogen consumption during alcoholic fermentation. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2014.04.006>
26. Jara y col. 2014. Mapping genetic variants underlying differences in the central nitrogen metabolism in fermenter yeasts. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086533>
27. Godoy y col. 2014. Identification of the *Dekkera bruxellensis* phenolic acid decarboxylase (PAD) gene responsible for wine spoilage. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.03.041>
28. Valdés y col. 2014. Draft genome sequence and transcriptome analysis of the wine spoilage yeast *Dekkera bruxellensis* LAMAP2480 provides insights into genetic diversity, metabolism and survival. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6968.12630>
29. Sturm et al. 2015. Relation between coumarate decarboxylase and vinylphenol reductase activity with regard to the production of volatile phenols by native *Dekkera bruxellensis* strains under 'wine-like' conditions. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.04.023>
30. Coronado y col. 2015. Comparison of the behaviour of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* strain LAMAP L2480 growing in authentic and synthetic wines. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-015-0413-7>
31. Junqueira-Gonçalves y col. 2015. Isolation and characterization of phenolic compounds and anthocyanins from Murta (*Ugni molinae* Turcz.) fruits. Assessment of antioxidant and antibacterial activity. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules20045698>
32. Salinas y col. 2016. Natural variation in non-coding regions underlying phenotypic diversity in budding yeast. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21849>
33. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2016. *RIM15* antagonistic pleiotropy is responsible for differences in fermentation and stress response kinetics in budding yeast. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/fow021>
34. Cubillos. 2016. Exploiting budding yeast natural variation for industrial processes. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-016-0602-6>
35. Godoy y col. 2016. Comparative transcriptome assembly and genome-guided profiling for *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*

- LAMAP2480 during p-coumaric acid stress.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep34304>
36. González y col. 2017. Survival and Growth Improvement of Palm Ruff, *Seriolella violacea*, Larvae Fed *Artemia* Nauplii Enriched with an Experimental Emulsion. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jwas.12375>
 37. Rojo y col. 2017. Incidence of osmophilic yeasts and *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* during the production of concentrate grape juices. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2016.11.017>
 38. Cubillos y col. 2017. Identification of Nitrogen Consumption Genetic Variants in Yeast Through QTL Mapping and Bulk Segregant RNA-Seq Analyses. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.117.042127>
 39. Quispe y col. 2017. Genetic basis of mycotoxin susceptibility differences between budding yeast isolates. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09471-z>
 40. Brice y col. 2018. Adaptability of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeasts to wine fermentation conditions relies on their strong ability to consume nitrogen. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192383>
 41. Candia-Onfray y col. 2018. Treatment of winery wastewater by anodic oxidation using BDD electrode. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.04.175>
 42. Salinas y col. 2018. Fungal Light-Oxygen-Voltage Domains for Optogenetic Control of Gene Expression and Flocculation in Yeast. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00626-18>
 43. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2019. Indirect monitoring of TORC1 signalling pathway reveals molecular diversity among different yeast strains. <https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.3351>
 44. Oporto y col. 2019. Distinct Transcriptional Changes in Response to Patulin Underlie Toxin Biosorption Differences in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11070400>
 45. Molinet y col. 2019. Genetic variants of TORC1 signaling pathway affect nitrogen consumption in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* during alcoholic fermentation. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220515>
 46. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2019. *KAE1* Allelic Variants Affect TORC1 Activation and Fermentation Kinetics in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01686>

47. Villalobos-Cid y col. 2019. Comparison of Phylogenetic Tree Topologies for Nitrogen Associated Genes Partially Reconstruct the Evolutionary History of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8010032>
48. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2020. Disentangling the genetic bases of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* nitrogen consumption and adaptation to low nitrogen environments in wine fermentation. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-019-0270-3>
49. Devia y col. 2020. Transcriptional Activity and Protein Levels of Horizontally Acquired Genes in Yeast Reveal Hallmarks of Adaptation to Fermentative Environments. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00293>
50. Molinet y col. 2020. *GTR1* Affects Nitrogen Consumption and TORC1 Activity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Under Fermentation Conditions. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00519>
51. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2020. Differential Gene Expression and Allele Frequency Changes Favour Adaptation of a Heterogeneous Yeast Population to Nitrogen-Limited Fermentations. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01204>
52. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2020. Generation of a Non-Transgenic Genetically Improved Yeast Strain for Wine Production from Nitrogen-Deficient Musts. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8081194>
53. Burgos-Díaz y col. 2021. Synthesis of New Chitosan from an Endemic Chilean Crayfish Exoskeleton (*Parastacus pugnax*): Physicochemical and Biological Properties. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13142304>
54. Villalobos-Cid y col. 2022. A multi-modal algorithm based on an NSGA-II scheme for phylogenetic tree inference. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2022.104606>
55. Aguilera y col. 2022. Use of Cocktail of Bacteriophage for *Salmonella* Typhimurium Control in Chicken Meat. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11081164>
56. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2022. Yeast as a biological platform for vitamin D production: A promising alternative to help reduce vitamin D deficiency in humans. <https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.3708>
57. Rozas y col. 2022. Genetically modified organisms: adapting regulatory frameworks for evolving genome editing technologies. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-022-00399-x>

58. Pinheiro y col. 2022. Food Availability in Different Food Environments Surrounding Schools in a Vulnerable Urban Area of Santiago, Chile: Exploring Socioeconomic Determinants. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11070901>
59. Pizarro y col. 2023. Dietary and physical activity practices and preferences in children: An approach from school parliaments in educational public establishments. <https://doi.org/10.32641/andespediatr.v94i2.4164>
60. Kessi-Pérez y col. 2023. Single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with wine fermentation and adaptation to nitrogen limitation in wild and domesticated yeast strains. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-023-00453-2>
61. Gil-Durán y col. 2023. CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Disruption of the *pcz1* Gene and Its Impact on Growth, Development, and Penicillin Production in *Penicillium rubens*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof9101010>
62. Sandoval y col. 2024. Enhancing industrial swine slaughterhouse wastewater treatment: Optimization of electrocoagulation technique and operating mode. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.119556>
63. Herrera-Muñoz y col. 2024. Assessment of contaminants of emerging concern and antibiotic resistance genes in the Mapocho River (Chile): A comprehensive study on water quality and municipal wastewater impact. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.176198>
64. Rocha y col. 2024. Phenotyping of a new yeast mapping population reveals differences in the activation of the TORC1 signalling pathway between wild and domesticated yeast strains. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-024-00563-5>
65. Figueroa y col. 2025. Optogenetic control of horizontally acquired genes prevent stuck fermentations in yeast. <https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.01794-24>